Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,659	KRAMER, ANDREW P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R. Evanisko	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) <u>George R. Evanisko</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Clara Davis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 January 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 28, 44		
Prior art documents discussed:	· .	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
cancellation of claim 44 without prejudice as being drawn to a 28 to change "pulse generator" to "controller".	a non-elected invention. Minor o	correction of claim language in claim
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr	nary by the examiner appear	s in Part II above.
		· ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)